**FILED** 

## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

DEC 28 2007

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JORGE AVILA-CERVANTES,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73422

Agency No. A97-469-211

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 20, 2007\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Jorge Avila-Cervantes, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion by denying the motion to reopen, where the BIA considered the evidence of Avila-Cervantes' U.S. citizen son's new, undiagnosed medical condition and acted within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary, irrational or contrary to law").

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

**FILED** 

DEC 28 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HAWKINS, Circuit Judge, dissenting.

I respectfully dissent. When Petitioner moved to re-open, the Department of Homeland Security did oppose a grant. Petitioner should be given an opportunity to present his new evidence.